

Present: Chairperson Deedee Holt, Bob Lear, John Geiger, Paul Chamberlin, Billie Bates, Associate Steve Kuzma, Conservation Agent Kristin Andres, Assistant Conservation Agent Lara Slifka and Secretary Mary Fougere.

Absent: Commissioner Carol Scott

Audio tape Announcement

The following hearings were continued at the Request of the Applicant:

238 & 294 Cranberry Lane (N Chatham), 4 Winds Trust & 238 Cran Ln LLC and Foster Family Nominee Trust- owners, David Foster-Applicant, SE 10-2699: Proposed construction of stone revetment and related Bank stabilization measures at 238 & 294 Cranberry Lane. **At the Applicant's Request, the hearing was continued to 6/15/2011**

92 Indian Trail, Peter / Julia Johannsen-applicants, Julia R Lloyd-owner, SE 10-2719: Proposed bulkhead replacement at 92 Indian Trail, Assessors Map 8B parcel S81. **At the Applicant's Request, the hearing was continued to July 20, 2011.**

8 Windmill Lane, Inga/Howard Walker III- applicants, Estates of John B & Muriel A Horne-owner, SE 10-: The hearing was opened for a Notice of Intent (NOI) for the proposed upgrade of existing, failed sewage Disposal System at 8 Windmill Lane. The Resource area impacting this site is the Flood Plain at elevation 9.0 ft; the area is a V9 Flood Zone

Representative: Keith Fernandes, J M O'Reilly & Associates

Document for Review: Site Plan prepared by J M O'Reilly & Associates dated 6/16/2011 revised 5/17/2011, pgs 1 & 2

The Walkers will soon be purchasing the property. There will be two IA technology treatment components for nitrogen removal utilized in this system; one is a microfast unit with a chamber, the leaching portion of the system will be a PercRite system. Re-contouring will be required to raise the system above ground water and grasses will be planted over the mound.

The Commission was interested if other nitrogen removal systems had been explored so that the system would not have to be raised. Mr Fernandes responded that although there are some technology alternatives, some of them such as composting toilets and foam flush units are hard to sell, partly because they are unsightly.

The hearing was continued to June 8, 2011 for receipt of a file number from DEP. The vote was unanimous for the continuance.

21 Lady Slipper Lane, Karl/Marion Nittel-owners, Mark Nittel-applicant, SE 10-: The hearing was opened for an NOI for the proposed removal of one pine tree and installation

of three re-placement trees at 21 Lady Slipper Lane. Resource areas include Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage and Coastal Bank, at elevation 10.0 ft.

Representatives: David Clark of Clark Engineering LLC and Craig Schneeberger of Cape Tree LLC

Documents for Review: Site Plan dated 5/16/2011 prepared by David A Clark, PE and "Removal, Maintenance and Mitigation Plan" prepared by Craig Schneeberger dated 5/17/2011

The Commission noted that there was a large debris pile that should be removed from the Flood Plain and Coastal bank. The applicant has proposed mitigation for the removal of the large pine in the form of plantings. Additionally, the area extending from the northern and southern property lines will be mowed annually. The Commission agreed that the mitigation area and mowing area as shown on the plan should be permanently marked (designated) in the field; the tree stump from the pine removal will suffice as eastern edge of the mitigation.

The pine will be removed in November per Mr Schneeberger.

The hearing was continued to June 8, 2011 for receipt of a file number from DEP.

Lot 6A John Gilpin Lane, GRS Investments LLC, Ronald Rudnick, Trustee, CWP 11-074N, SE 10- The hearing was opened for an NOI for the proposed Construction of a deck at Lot 6A John Gilpin Lane. The Resource areas White Pond, a BVW to White Pond, the Inland Bank to White Pond and the Adjacent Upland Resource Areas to BVW and the top of the Inland Bank.

Representative: Terry Eldredge of Eldredge Surveying & Engineering; the applicant was present

Documents for Review: Site Plan prepared by Eldredge Surveying & Engineering, dated 5/5/2011; Coverage Chart, dated 6/1/2011 and a planting plan dated 5/2/2011 prepared by Wilkinson Ecological Design; Previous filing under SE 10-2434, supporting documents and the documents in the file and the previous Amended Request under SE 10-2434.

Mr Eldredge stated that the applicant has a permit under SE 10-2434 to construct a deck shown on the site plan. The outside living area on this site is on the water side, there is a walk-out basement however there is no patio or other hardscape proposed for the area underneath the deck, except for a step from the slider to the outside. This area under the deck was originally a mitigation area where native plant species were to be planted. A mitigation planting plan for the construction of the dwelling and the deck has been approved also. The original mitigation plan under SE 10-2434 showed all the mitigation inside the limit of work.

The applicant is proposing to expand the deck to twice the approved size for a total of 22.4 % coverage in the 50-100-ft buffer from the Inland Bank. As mitigation for the increased coverage, a Wilkinson Ecological Design plan shows 1868 Sq ft of mitigation planting for proposed 1769 sq ft of hardscape. Some of the increased mitigation is in the No-Disturb Zone and outside the limit of work established for the construction of the house. The 1868 Sq Ft of mitigation includes the plantings originally approved inside the haybale line. Mr Eldredge claimed that the amount of mitigation proposed and shown on the 5/2/2011 Wilkinson plan is almost triple the original proposal. He did not have the calculations available.

Commissioner Bates noted that the inside living area on this lot has taken up most of the lot, leaving very little room for outside living. This was an undeveloped lot and the bylaws address the kleeping of construction outside the 100-ft Adjacent Upland Resource Areas. She also objected to the mitigation plantings being put in an area that is already naturalized and is in the No-Disturb Zone. Associate Steve Kuzma objected to the filing since the deck that was approved was done so as a compromise for the construction of the dwelling. The applicant is now before the Commission for the third time requesting the same deck that was not approved originally.

Commissioner Geiger questioned what is different in this plan from the original, permitted plan. In response, the Agent stated that the area proposed for new decking is a previously approved mitigation area; the applicant proposes to re-locate and add mitigation. Commissioner Chamberlin noted that during the hearing process for the original filing and the previous amendment request, it was apparent that the Commission was conflicted over the amount of mitigation proposed because there was very little space to mitigate. This plan, as proposed, increases the mitigation for wildlife habitat for the increased deck area plus includes the original mitigation area. It was agreed that Mr Wilkinson has added sufficient plantings that will enhance the existing wildlife habitat

It was moved, seconded and voted to continue the hearing to June 15, for a revised plan showing the following:

- ◆ **The worn path will be shown**
- ◆ **A retaining wall on the east side, almost all of which is outside the jurisdiction of the Commission will remain. It will be a retaining wall not a landscape wall as originally approved. The new location will be shown on the plan**
- ◆ **The deck configuration will mirror what was already approved**
- ◆ **A 5-yr Monitoring Plan will be provided by Seth Wilkinson**
- ◆ **Receipt of comment from NHESP and a file number from DEP**

Barn Hill Road, Town of Chatham DPW, SE 10- The hearing was opened for an NOI for the proposed construction and maintenance of sidewalk and drainage improvements within a public road layout on Barn Hill Road. Resource Areas include Sulphur Springs (tidal),

Lands Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage and the Adjacent Upland Resource Areas under the local wetlands Bylaw

Representative: J Thaddeus Eldredge, Chatham Highway Surveyor Jeffrey Colby
Document for Review: Set of plans, pgs 1-6 "Phase II Conceptual Sidewalk Plan & Profile", prepared by Eldredge Surveying & Engineering LLC and Project Narrative

Per Jeff Colby, On-going sewer collection system construction has enabled the Highway Dept to slightly shift Barn Hill rd as far away from wetland areas to construct the sidewalk and a grassy buffer strip along Barn Hill road up to the intersection of Rt 28. The project for the sidewalk construction will replicate the same type of project approved for the length of Hardings Beach Road.

HAYbale siltation fence will be installed on the seaward side of the proposed work to prevent any stormwater run-off carrying silts into Sulphur Springs.

Three trees have been flagged for removal; the Town will replace any trees lost with a 1:1 ration of trees lost to trees replaced.

It was moved , seconded and unanimously voted to continue the hearing until June 8, 2011 for receipt of a file number from DEP.

55 Seapine Road, Sally Tomlinson, SE 10- The hearing was opened for an NOI for the proposed raising of existing boathouse to be level with existing bulkhead at 55 Seapine Road. Resource areas impacting the site are a coastal marsh, coastal beach, Crow's Pond (tidal), Land Subject to Coastal Storm FLOWage, Coastal Bank, Bordering Vegetated Wetland (SW of the site) and the Adjacent Upland Resource Areas.

Representative: Terry Eldredge of Eldredge Surveying & Engineering
Documents for review: "*Site Plan, pgs 1-3*" dated 3/1/2011 prepared by Eldredge Surveying & Engineering, LLC; set of Architectural plans A1, L1 & L2, dated 12/8/2010 by Roger O Hoit, Architect; Email dated 6/1/2011 from Terry Eldredge to Roger Hoit

Mr Eldredge stated that the proposed project consists of raising an existing boathouse 2.8 feet to be level with an existing bulkhead. There was questioning from the Agent whether the depth of the existing pilings and the block wall foundation on the back part of the boathouse were adequate enough to meet building code requirements. He acknowledged that if the existing structures are not sufficient, it would change the scope of the project. Further Ms Andres had spoken to the Building Inspector who explained that even though a structure will not be used for habitable space, it has to be structurally sound and meet the building code in order to obtain a building permit.

Discussion ensued regarding the determination of Mean High Water. Mr Eldredge stated that MHW was based on the tidal benchmarks (NGVD) at the Chatham Fish Pier rather

than NAVD '88 data. The benchmarks may be different in Pleasant Bay. There is approximately 1.1 foot difference in NGVD data vs NAVD data. The Commission agreed that there will be no determination regarding the MHW delineation under this filing.

The Commission agreed that verification of whether the existing pilings and block foundation would adequately support the raising of the boathouse, as proposed. Would be required from a structural engineer **It was moved, seconded and unanimously voted to continue the hearing to Jun 15, 2011.**

560 Fox Hill Road, Lawrence Lepard & Susan Foley Lepard, SE 10- The hearing was opened for an NOI for the proposed reconstruction of existing, licensed bulkhead, slope stabilization and reconstruction of existing beach access stairs at 560 Fox Hill Road. The resource areas impacting the site include coastal bank, top of coastal bank, salt marsh, Crows Pond (tidal) and the Adjacent Upland Resource areas under the local Bylaw.

Representative: Peter Markunas of Woods Hole Group, Wm Riley, Esquire and David Clark of Clark Engineering LLC

Documents for Review: Site Plan prepared by Clark Engineering LLC, dated May 5, 2011; Series of colored photographs showing existing site conditions; Seth Wilkinson Design Restoration plan under SE 10-2637

Phase I of the project includes the removal of the existing creosote steps and storage sheds and storage bin and the construction of a new, elevated stairway with new platforms and a re-located, new storage bin. The elevated steps will be constructed in the same area as the existing steps and will lead to the existing, licensed pier. A new landing platform is proposed above the timber bulkhead with the storage bin relocated to a 4' x 10' deck adjacent to the new landing.

The second component of the project involves repair work and extension on the existing, licensed steel bulkhead and timber bulkhead, both of which are licensed. Additionally, the scarp on the eastern side has created a vertical drop. From the end of the scarp, the applicant proposes to extend the return 20-ft in length, add some fiber rolls and add a 10-ft return. (the fiber rolls are not shown on the plan) On the western side, there is some scouring and the applicant proposes a 10-ft return; both returns will be vegetated. The steel bulkhead is over 40 years old and is shown on a plan from 1972. The wooden bulkhead was not on the 1972 plan; Mr Markunas stated that it was installed in the early 1980's. the Chapter 91 licenses for both bulkheads are dated 1993; both steel and timber bulkheads are shown on the plans. Mr Markunas noted that there will be a minor project modification to the Chapter 91 license; this change will probably be processed by DEP within 30 days of the application.

Access for the construction work on the bulkheads will be from an existing pathway on the eastern side of the property. Mr Markunas stated that the contractor is confident that he can excavate between the telephone poles of the existing timber wall and the existing

sheet piling bulkhead from the landward side. The telephone poles will be removed and the area re-graded and re-planted once the excavation is complete and the vinyl, corrugated sheeting is in place.

Mr Markunas has provided alternatives for the construction; he reviewed the 6 options outlined in the Alternatives Analysis provided with the Notice of Intent. The Commission complimented the engineer on the quality of the application, particularly the Alternatives Analysis.

Mr Chamberlin noted that the beach has lowered at the center of the bulkhead. He questioned whether the applicant would consider changing the length of the flat faced vinyl to a softer fiber roll component. He felt that in softening the face at the ends of the new sheeting instead of using a vertical face on the bulkhead extension, the beach would accrete and enable salt marsh to re-form. There is a juvenile salt marsh forming in front of the steel bulkhead already.

The Commission asked if the erosion is coming from the top of the bulkhead, rather than the ocean side; if so, the bulkhead extension may not be necessary.

Mr Markunas will provide a revised plan showing all the construction details including:

- ◆ MHW determination
- ◆ Location of fiber rolls as proposed
- ◆ Consideration by the applicant to soften the ends of the returns
- ◆ Location of steel bulkhead and the timber bulkhead
- ◆ Returns going into the coastal bank not extending laterally or seaward
- ◆ Information on the excavation for the anchors

Special Permit required for the stairway; the proposal is scheduled on ZBA Agenda June 23, 2011. **It was moved, seconded and unanimously voted to continue the Conservation hearing to July 6, 2011.**

Pcl 4 Old Main Street, Town of Chatham (Sylvan Gardens), SE 10-2721: The hearing was opened for an NOI for the proposed trimming and removal of invasive plant species, non-native and native shrubs and trees; proposed installation of Split rail fencing and proposed clearing of an existing trail at Pcl 4 Old Main Street. The Land Bank & Open Space Committee purchased the Sylvan Gardens property for open space and passive recreational use. The land is under the jurisdiction of the Conservation Commission. Resource areas impacting the area are a BVW, former cranberry bog, and the AURA to the cranberry bog ditch.

Representative: Assistant Conservation Agent, Lara Slifka

Documents for review: Sketch plan showing location of proposed trails, colorized, and plan of land prepared by Ryder & Wilcox, Inc

Ms Slifka stated that there are two poorly maintained trails on the property, both cleared by Mosquito Control in order to maintain the ditch. The intent to better maintain the existing trails is to funnel pedestrians along the trail furthest from the ditch, eventually leading them to a scenic overlook of White Pond. The topography of the lot and the shape of the lot limit the ability to move the trail further to the east away from the resource area.

Invasives(honeysuckle, bittersweet, English Ivy, etc) will be removed from the Old Main Street entrance and the exit onto parcel 5 walking easement to re-establish an identifiable trail. The trail will be limited to 4-ft in width, bulbs in the existing pathway will be transplanted by hand.

The work will be completed in September when a work day can be arranged with Americorps volunteers.

It was moved, seconded and unanimously voted to close the hearing.

69 Linnell Lane, Nancy Doyle, SE 10- 2728: The hearing was re-opened for an NOI for the proposed construction of elevated wooden walkway to replace existing footpath at 69 Linnell Lane.

Representatives: Matt Farrell of J M O'Reilly & Associates and William Riley, Esq
Documents for Review: Revised Site plan dated May 11, 2011, prepared by J M O'Reilly

Mr Farrell reviewed the changes made to the plan at the Request of the Commission;

- ◆ Removal of the rail on the left side of the landing and stairs at the driveway—a vegetated coir roll is well established in this location
- ◆ Changed notes 1-6 on the plan
- ◆ Footbridge will be reviewed under an Amended Order in the near future; if necessary, the applicant could address the need for a Chapter 91 license under the Amendment Request
- ◆ Raised up the walkway to meet the height / width ratio of 1:1
- ◆ 100 yr FI Elevation is 11.0 ft, not 7.0 as was previously stated
- ◆ Spacing of the deck planking will be ¼ inch as shown on the plan, the applicant is amenable to increasing the spacing if required by the Commission
- ◆ Need for a Chapter 91 license was reviewed. MR Farrell noted that there is no mention of a license from DEP correspondence, Division of Marine Fisheries correspondence or from the Commission

As agreed upon at the previous hearing, the applicant is coming back to the Commission within a year with an Amendment Request to remove the concrete blocks.

The Commission expressed their concern that the design of this landing and stairs will be replicated along the shoreline. The applicant will accept a Special Condition in the Order that requires the seasonal removal of the upper portion of the structure where it meets the

driveway. Additionally, the Commission felt that this accommodation for water access is necessary for this applicant and the permit for same will not transfer with the property.

The proposal is scheduled on ZBA agenda June 9, 2011; **it was moved, seconded and unanimously voted to continue the Conservation hearing to June 15, 2011.**

183 Taylor's Pond Road & Pcl T6A -Common Area, Riverside Trust, Richard/Gloria Rochette, Trustees and Taylor's Pond Road Owners Association- owner/applicants, SE 10-2727: The hearing was re-opened for the proposed installation of fiber rolls, erosion control mat and planting at 183 Taylor's Pond Road & Pcl T6A Common Area. The applicant has acknowledged that there is an active Kingfisher nest in the coastal bank on site.

Representative: David Lyttle of Ryder & Wilcox, Inc
Documents for Review: Cape Organics Narrative stamped " Received May 25, 2011
Town of Chatham Conservation "

Mr Lyttle stated that the applicant is willing to wait until after nesting season to complete the proposed work. The narrative provided a Construction Protocol, Canopy Restoration data and Fiber Roll and Slope Coverage information.

The Commission had questions regarding the particulars of Kingfisher nesting habits, particularly as it relates to return nesting. Mr Lyttle would search for additional information; if permitted, the project would not be completed until Fall 2011. Commissioner Bates suggested that the applicant contact Massachusetts Audubon Society.

It was moved, seconded and unanimously voted to continue the hearing to June 22, 2011.

177 Countryside Drive, Robert/Natalie Coleman Fuller, SE 10-2716: The hearing was re-opened for an NOI for the proposed construction of 2 decks; proposed mitigation plantings at 177 Countryside Drive. A Special Permit was approved by ZBA on May 26, 2011 for the construction of the decks.

Representative : David Lyttle of Ryder & Wilcox, Inc
Document for Review: Ryder & Wilcox site plan dated April 19, 2011

The plan shows 1600 Sq ft of mitigation area on the west side of the dwelling for the construction of the decks. Ms Andres had conversations with the applicants regarding choice of species for the area, but no plant list including sizing and numbers of plants has been provided. The area is in Riverfront; the restoration area on the northern side of the property has been addressed.

The Commission agreed that a list of proposed plant species and sizes and number of plants must be received from the applicant. There is already some vegetation in the mitigation area that could be enhanced. Once planted the area must be allowed to naturalize although the Commission felt that a footpath could be maintained to allow access around the house. Commissioner Chamberlin suggested that the mitigation area should be delineated in the field as well, as a naturalized area.

It was moved, seconded and unanimously voted to continue the hearing to June 8, 2011 for receipt of the information discussed.

414 Fox Hill Road, Christopher/Kathleen LaCroix, SE 10- 2734: The hearing was re-opened for an NOI for the proposed removal of existing dwelling, bunkhouses & garage; proposed construction of new dwelling & garage; proposed installation of new sewage disposal system; proposed grading & landscaping at 414 Fox Hill Road. An on-site meeting was held on June 1, 2011.

Representatives: David Lyttle and Andrew Grover of Ryder & Wilcox, Inc. William Riley, Esq, Peter Polhemus of Polhemus Savery DaSilva, David Haw of Haw Design
Documents for Review: Site Plan Sketch with Proposed Coverage dated May 25, 2011 and Site Plan Sketch showing Existing Coverage dated May 17, 2011, (both prepared by Ryder & Wilcox, Inc) Revised Site Plan prepared by Ryder & Wilcox, dated May 25, 2011 ; Hawk Design landscape plan dated May 2, 2011; Grading Sketch Plan rec'd at table June 1, 2011 and Planting Revision portion of plan dated June 1, 2011

Mr Riley felt that the on-site was productive; as a result of the on-site, the patio planting area has been changed. Mr Riley circulated copies of the revisions. The priorities of the project were identified in the field and consist of the house area as the first priority, the patio and hardscape as the second priority and the lawn area as the third priority. He stated that although the disturbance on the lot is significant and restoration plantings will take several years to reach the level of growth that currently exists, the applicant has tried to balance the construction and use of the lot with mitigation plantings and restoration.

The revised Hawk Design site plan, dated June 1, 2011 shows a reduction in lawn area over what is already Cape Cod lawn adjacent to the patio.

Mr Lyttle reviewed the revisions to the Ryder & Wilcox Site plan:

- ◆ On the easterly side, the house has been rotated and kept as far away from the top of coastal bank as possible; the size of the garage has been reduced by a couple of feet as a result of the rotation
- ◆ The Septic system has been relocated underneath the gravel drive; the "pod" portion of the septic is only a couple feet deep and cannot be underneath the driveway, it must be left outside the driveway area.
- ◆ The limit of work has been adjusted

- ◆ The proposed contours vs the existing contours have been shown on the site sketch circulated at the table. Much of the soil matrix on site will be re-used.
- ◆ Activity on the site after construction will be confined to the patio area
- ◆ The path to the dock has been re-located and shortened

Mr Lyttle reviewed the Construction Protocol and sequencing of proposed work. Some of the restoration on the seaward side can be accomplished while the new house is being built. The grading on the west side will soften now that the landscape changes have been made. The size of the house has not been changed.

Mr Hawk addressed the changes to the landscape plan:

- ◆ In the upland meadow area, approximately 60 shrubs have been added, all native species
- ◆ In the area of the bunkhouse (existing) trees and evergreens have been added. The restoration shade trees and evergreens are well above the number that will be removed
- ◆ A pathway has been removed
- ◆ In the circle near the driveway, native plant species will be added however the area going up the driveway will not change significantly; retaining walls are shown on the plan to assist in the grading.

In discussions with Mr Hawk, The Agent felt strongly that native plant material that do well in Chatham, in this location must be used in mitigation areas. As an example, there are no River birch proposed, nor should they be used. Ms Andres stressed that she will be satisfied with the final plant list as long as ornamentals and non-native plant species are not used in mitigation areas and the sizes of plant material reflect the concern to restore the areas as soon as possible. The applicant can separate the plant species and their numbers for the mitigation areas vs the additional areas on the site.

Ms Bates asked if the applicant has considered an architecturally appealing house on a different footprint which would not require as much site work. The patio area is extensive and extends around two sides of the building. Commissioner Geiger agreed that the Commission is seeing more and more projects that maximize the use of the lot. He appreciated the work that the applicant has put in, however the Commission will have to decide whether to permit the project considering the Wetlands regulations and whether the project can be conditioned to comply with the regulations. Mr Riley re-iterated that the applicants have worked hard to design the dwelling and are willing to mitigate and restore the area. Mr Lyttle stated that they have given considerable time and thought to this proposal.

Associate Kuzma noted that there were concerns regarding the size of the footprint and the amount of hardscape expressed at the last hearing. It appears that nothing has changed in that regard in this revised proposal. The patio is 6-ft at its narrowest point and 16-ft at its widest. The new dwelling will only be 9 ft from the top of the coastal bank.

Admittedly, the home is not large by most standards, however the problem is the lot, where it is located.

The original problem is that the lot was created in 1984 almost 100 percent in the jurisdiction of the Commission. A large portion of the lot is in the Flood Plain. The other problem is that the dwelling has been in existence since the 1940's or 1950's. There is almost double the amount of coverage proposed over the existing coverage.

Discussion ensued regarding the comment letter to be sent to the Zoning Board of Appeals. Mr Chamberlin suggested that the Commission accept the house and write a favorable comment to ZBA; at future hearings the hard-scape, including patio area and lawn, and grading could be addressed. The Commissioners agreed that there was concern about the exterior disturbance, excavation to the west and the size of the dwelling. Commissioner Geiger asked if there was any way to decrease the human activity on the east side of the dwelling by possibly removing the stepping stones on the east side of the dwelling and maybe moving the outdoor shower. If the area was heavily vegetated, the area would not be used; he suggested that is issue that could be addressed in the field. Mr Riley stated that there is a need to get around the house.

Commissioners Chamberlin, Lear and Geiger felt they were prepared to comment on the house construction only. Mr Riley stated that the tone of the letter should reflect that although there are still several concerns with the project, the Commission is willing to work out the differences. The concerns are especially strong regarding the west side of the property, the size of the patio, the elimination of lawn area, re-grading, etc.

From the audience, Peter Polhemus stated that he has worked with the applicants to be receptive to the concerns of the Commission.

A Special Permit is required; the project is scheduled on the ZBA agenda June 9, 2011.

64 End Lane aka 64 Far End Lane, Deep End Property, Inc-owner, Christopher Rogers- applicant, SE 10- 2702: The hearing was re-opened for an NOI for the proposed installation of fiber rolls at end of stone revetment at 64 End Lane aka 64 Far End Lane.

Representative: David Lyttle, Ryder & Wilcox, Inc

Mr Lyttle stated that the Rogers family had a Coastal Delineation study completed; several sediment samples were analyzed. On this site, it has been determined that the landform is a dune, however it is not functioning as a dune. He will be presenting revised plans based on the study.

It was moved, seconded and unanimously voted to continue the meeting to July 6, 2011.

The following applications were approved **under Administrative Review:**

- ◆ 85 Perch Pond Road, Doherty: Removal of a dead tree. Applicant willing to re-plant.

82 Judge's Way, Dodds: The Agent noted that due to lack of mooring space and the moratorium on new pier construction, outhaul applications are becoming more frequent. The Harbormaster has already issued a permit for the outhaul. The outhauls consist of an anchor in the intertidal area and a pipe on shore.

Photographs of this site were circulated at the table. The site is located in an historic eelgrass area.

The Commission unanimously agreed to require the applicant to file an RDA; it was moved, seconded and unanimously voted to deny the project under an Administrative review.

500 Shore Road, Geary, SE 10-2666: The Geary property adjacent to the O'Leary property on 520 Shore Road, has a permit for fiber roll erosion control. Don Monroe from Coastal Engineering Company Inc has asked if the Commission would consider an application under an Amendment for changes in the configuration of the fiber rolls.

It was moved, seconded and unanimously voted to review the proposal under an Amendment Request.

15 Pursel Drive & Pcl 17 Orleans Road, Adams, SE 10-2675 : A second Signature sheet was signed for the completion of the project under SE 10-2675.

Reminder: On-Site at 45 Chatharbor Lane, June 8, 3PM

Adjourn: It was moved, seconded and unanimously voted to adjourn the meeting at 10:25 PM.

**Respectfully submitted,
Mary Fougere, Secretary**