Tables

FUSS & O'NEILL

TABLE 46
Comparative Constraints Analysis Summary Table for Culvert Replacement Alternatives
Muddy Creek Wetland Restoration
February 2012

Culvert Replacement Alternative Configuration	Vegetative Community Impacts/ Benefits	Shellfish Community Impacts/ Benefits	Fisheries Migration/ Habitat Impacts/Benefits	Construction Costs	Life Expectancy/ Maintenance Costs	Construction Footprint Impacts on Wetlands	Construction Timeline and Traffic Bypass/ Detouring	Water Quality Impacts/ Benefits	Permitting Requirements	Wildlife/Rare Species Impacts/Benefits	Canoe/Kayak Passage and Safety	Aesthetics	TOTAL SCORE
Pre-Cast Concrete Box Single Cell • 24' clear span box channel • Split for Transport • Installation by jacking 	• Score = 2 • Greater impacts because of jacking pit.	• Score = 2 • Temporary shellfishing impacts where jacking pit is located.	• Score = 1 • Fish migration severely impacted during construction because of need to pump water to avoid deep trench excavation for bypass channel	• Score = 3 • Second highest construction cost ($3,775,000)	• Score = 3 • 75 Year Life Expectancy • Intermittent maintenance will be required to repair the invert exposed to flow	• Score = 1 • Greatest impact during construction due to the jacking pit and need to support the reaction wall and construct access road • Approximately 7,900 sq. ft. of wetland area disturbance	• Score = 5 • Shortest construction period (3-5 months) • Maintains traffic through the site for the duration of construction	• Score = 5 • Culvert will improve water quality consistent with other scenarios.	• Score = 2 • Jacking will require greatest disturbance to wetlands. • Finished product will have the least benefits to wildlife and humans with smaller opening and concrete floor.	• Score = 2 • Finished product will have the least benefits to wildlife with smaller opening and concrete floor.	• Score = 2 • Can be designed to offer safe passage but opening will appear smaller than other alternatives during high tide.	• Score = 2 • Square box culvert will lower aesthetics. • Formliners can be used to improve aesthetics	30
Single Span Pre-Cast Concrete Three Sided Bridge • 24' clear span box channel 	• Score = 3 • Less impacts than jacking.	• Score = 3 • Less impacts than jacking.	• Score = 3 • Temporary bypass will allow continued fish passage through construction. • Natural stream bottom through culvert.	• Score = 4 • Second lowest construction cost ($3,540,000)	• Score = 5 • 75 Year Life Expectancy • Negligible annual maintenance cost	• Score = 3 • Moderate impact during construction • Approximately 5,320 sq. ft. of wetland area impact	• Score = 3 • Construction time is shorter than concrete deck bridge alternatives (6-9 months) • Shortest detour duration due to short construction time	• Score = 5 • Culvert will improve water quality consistent with other scenarios.	• Score = 4 • Approach reduces potential construction impacts while providing habitat and water quality benefits.	• Score = 3 • Finished product will have natural stream bottom and higher opening because of arch.	• Score = 3 • Higher opening because of arch.	• Score = 4 • Arch will make culvert appear less as a pipe. • Formliners can be used to improve aesthetics	43
Pre-Cast Concrete Deck Beam Bridge on Cast-in-Place Abutments • 24' clear span box channel 	• Score = 3 • Less impacts than jacking.	• Score = 3 • Less impacts than jacking.	• Score = 4 • Temporary bypass will allow continued fish passage through construction. • Natural stream bottom through culvert. • Larger opening may encourage improved habitat and migration.	• Score = 1 • Highest construction cost ($4,040,000)	• Score = 4 • 75 Year Life Expectancy • Minimal maintenance costs when compared to steel stringer bridges • Pavement maintenance / replacement is a bridge item • Potential damage to bridge rails	• Score = 2 • Second largest impact during construction • Approximately 6,290 sq. ft. of wetland area impact	• Score = 1 • Longest construction time of alternatives (10-12 months) • Longest detour duration due to abutment construction	• Score = 5 • Opening will improve water quality consistent with other scenarios.	• Score = 3 • Approach has more significant construction impacts with comparable habitat and water quality benefits.	• Score = 3 • Finished product will have natural stream bottom and large opening through the embankment.	• Score = 4 • Larger opening because of bridge.	• Score = 3 • Size and height of structure may seem intrusive and overpowering in proposed setting	36
Single Span Adjacent Pre-Cast Concrete Deck Beam Bridge Supported on Cast-in-Place Concrete Abutments • 94' deck span over armored trapezoidal channel 	• Score = 3 • Less impacts than jacking.	• Score = 4 • Least footprint within Land Under Ocean	• Score = 5 • Temporary bypass will allow continued fish passage through construction. • Greater ability to form variability/complexity through natural stream bottom channel. • Larger opening may encourage improved habitat and migration.	• Score = 5 • Lowest construction cost ($3,310,000)	• Score = 4 • 75 Year Life Expectancy • Minimal maintenance costs when compared to steel stringer bridges • Pavement maintenance / replacement is a bridge item • Potential damage to bridge rails	• Score = 4 • Lowest impact during construction • Approximately 4.,320 sq. ft. of wetland area impact	• Score = 2 • Longer construction time three sided bridge (8-10 months)	• Score = 5 • Opening will improve water quality consistent with other scenarios.	• Score = 5 • Approach has lowest construction impacts providing larger opening for wildlife and recreational passage.	• Score = 5 • Finished product will have natural stream bottom, the largest opening through the embankment and will allow for wildlife migration.	• Score = 5 • Highest opening resulting from large bridge span and trapezoidal channel configuration. • Lower tidal velocities compared to 24-ft. wide channel.	• Score = 4 • Larger opening creates less visual impact and greater sight lines from downstream beach area to upstream estuary.	51

Attachment A

Topographic Wetland Resource Area Mapping



GENERAL NOTES :

1.) THE INTENT OF THIS PLAN IS TO DEPICT EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS ALONG MUDDY CREEK AND ITS OUTLET TO PLEASANT BAY.

2.) PLAN CO-ORDINATE SYSTEM: NORTH AMERICAN DATUM 1983 (NAD 83)

PLAN VERTICAL DATUM: NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM 1988 (NAVD 88)

DATES OF SURVEY/EQUIPMENT UTILIZED/COMPUTER SOFTWARE:

APRIL 20-25, 2011 AND MAY 4, 2011 ~ LEICA RX1250TC GPS RTK AND TOPCON GPT 3100W TOTAL STATION WITH TDS-S72-SY3CMBB-SP 0001 RANGER 300X W/BLUETOOTH & TC TP5/AutoCAD WITH CARLSON SURVEY 2007

3.) A TITLE SEARCH HAS NOT BEEN PERFORMED FOR THIS SITE. IF DETERMINED TO BE NECESSARY, A TITLE SEARCH SHALL BE PERFORMED BY OTHERS.

4.) TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY DETAIL PERFORMED BY BAXTER-NYE ENGINEERING & SURVEYING ON APRIL 20-25, 2011 AND MAY 4, 2011.

5.) COMMUNITY PANEL NUMBER 250008 0008 D
THE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP DEFINES THIS AREA AS ZONE A14 (EL 12 & 13) AND V211 (EL 14 & 16).

6.) ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION:

- SITE IS WITHIN AN A.C.E.C. (AREA OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN).
- SITE IS WITHIN AN AREA OF ESTIMATED HABITAT OF RARE WILDLIFE PER NHESP MAP OCTOBER 1, 2010 "ESTIMATED HABITATS OF RATE WILDLIFE" FOR USE WITH THE MA WETLANDS PROTECTION ACT REGULATIONS (310 CMR 10)."
- SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN A CERTIFIED VERNAL POOL PER NHESP MAP OCTOBER 1, 2010 "CERTIFIED VERNAL POOLS."
- SITE IS WITHIN A PRIORITY HABITAT PER NHESP MAP OCTOBER 1, 2010 "PRIORITY HABITATS OF RARE SPECIES" FOR SPECIES UNDER THE MASSACHUSETTS ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT, REGULATIONS (321 CMR10).
- SITE IS WITHIN A STATE APPROVED ZONE II GROUND WATER RECHARGE PROTECTION AREA.
- SITE IS WITHIN A ZONE OF CONTRIBUTION TO A SALTWATER ESTUARY (BOH 360-45).

7.) UTILITY INFORMATION SHOWN HEREIN:

- ANY CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT DIG SAFE (AT 1-888-DIG-SAFE) AND UTILITY COMPANIES TO LOCATE ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, AT LEAST 72 HOURS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. THE LOCATION OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND INFRASTRUCTURE, UTILITIES, CONDUITS AND LINES ARE SHOWN IN AN APPROXIMATE WAY ONLY, MAY NOT BE LIMITED TO THOSE SHOWN HEREIN AND HAVE BEEN RESEARCHED BASED ON THE AVAILABLE UTILITY RECORDS NOTED HEREON. CONTRACTOR AGREES TO BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY AND ALL DAMAGES WHICH MIGHT BE OCCASIONED BY CONTRACTOR'S FAILURE TO LOCATE SAID INFRASTRUCTURE AND UTILITIES EXACTLY.
- WATER LINE SHOWN IN ROUTE 28 IS MARKED BY DIG-SAFE AT LOCUS AREA
- GAS MAIN SHOWN IN ROUTE 28 IS MARKED BY DIG-SAFE AT LOCUS AREA.
- INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM NSTAR ELECTRIC VIA EMAIL DATED 4/13/11, INDICATES THAT LOCUS AREA CONSISTS OF OVERHEAD SECONDARY UTILITY LINES, AS SHOWN ON PLAN.

TOPOGRAPHY, SPOT SHOT ELEVATIONS, ROADWAYS, EDGE OF WATER (EXCEPT AS NOTED), BUILDINGS AND TREE LINE FROM ELECTRONIC FILE SUPPLIED BY CLIENT. INFORMATION SHOWN THEREON FROM AERIAL SURVEY DATA COMPILED BY JAMES W. SEWALL COMPANY, MAY 13, 2008.

CHATHAM/HARWICH TOWN LINE IS APPROXIMATE. INFORMATION COMPILED, SCALED AND ROTATED FROM CHATHAM AND HARWICH ELECTRONIC ASSESSOR DATA FILES.

LEGEND

- EDGE OF WATER (AERIAL SURVEY)
- OBSERVED MEAN HIGH WATER LINE
- TIDAL LINE
- TRIBUTARY / STORM DRAINAGE CHANNEL
- EDGE OF ROAD
- TREE LINE (AERIAL SURVEY)
- PARCEL BOUNDARY
- ELEVATION CONTOUR
- WETLAND VEGETATIVE COMMUNITY BOUNDARY
- WF/G-2 — DELINEATED WETLAND LIMIT AND FLAG
- F — FLOOD HAZARD ZONE BOUNDARY

No.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DESIGNER	REVIEWER
1.			XX/XX	XX

SEAL

SEAL

BAXTER NYE
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING

SCALE:
HORZ.: 1" = 50'
VERT.:
DATUM:
HORZ.: NAD83
VERT.: NAVD88
50 25 0 50
GRAPHIC SCALE

FUSS & O'NEILL
317 IRON HORSE WAY, SUITE 204
PROVIDENCE, RI 02908
401.861.3070
www.fando.com

CAPE COD CONSERVATION DISTRICT

SHEET LAYOUT AND NOTES PLAN

MUDDY CREEK WETLAND RESTORATION

HARWICH/CHATHAM MASSACHUSETTS

PROJ. No.: 20110202.A10
DATE: FEB. 2012

LP-101

File Path: J:\DWG\P20110202\A10\Civil\Plan\20110202A10_EXC01.dwg, Layout: LP-101, Plotted: Mon, Feb 27, 2012 - 1:08 PM User: nwilberg
MS VIEW: CS-101 LAYER STATE: SITE Plotter: NONE CTB File: ----

SEE SHEET RC-102



LEGEND

- EDGE OF WATER (AERIAL SURVEY)
- OBSERVED MEAN HIGH WATER LINE
- TIDAL LINE
- TRIBUTARY / STORM DRAINAGE CHANNEL
- EDGE OF ROAD
- TREE LINE (AERIAL SURVEY)
- PARCEL BOUNDARY
- ELEVATION CONTOUR
- WETLAND VEGETATIVE COMMUNITY BOUNDARY
- DELINEATED WETLAND LIMIT AND FLAG (WF/G-2)
- FLOOD HAZARD ZONE BOUNDARY

CULVERT ELEVATION SUMMARY TABLE - MUDDY CREEK/ROUTE 28
ALL ELEVATIONS NAVD88

	MUDDY CREEK (LAND SIDE)		PLEASANT BAY (OCEAN SIDE)	
	ROOF ELEV.	INVERT ELEV.	ROOF ELEV.	INVERT ELEV.
NORTH CULVERT	0.99	-2.63	0.55	-3.21
SOUTH CULVERT	0.82	-2.35	0.68	-2.92

NOTES:
Roof elevations at each culvert end were measured at both corners. Elevations shown above are averaged values.

File Path: J:\DWG\P2011\0202\A10\Civil\Plan\20110202A10_EXC01.dwg, Layout: RC-101, Plotted: Mon, Feb 27, 2012 - 1:08 PM User: nwberg
MS VIEW: CS-101 Plotter: NONE CTB File: ----
LAYER STATE: SITE

No.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DESIGNER	REVIEWER
1.			XX/XX	XX

SEAL

SEAL

BAXTER NYE
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING

SCALE:
HORZ.: 1" = 50'
VERT.:
DATUM:
HORZ.: NAD83
VERT.: NAVD88
50 25 0 50
GRAPHIC SCALE

FUSS & O'NEILL
317 IRON HORSE WAY, SUITE 204
PROVIDENCE, RI 02908
401.861.3070
www.fando.com

CAPE COD CONSERVATION DISTRICT

WETLAND RESOURCE AREA PLAN NO. 1

MUDDY CREEK WETLAND RESTORATION

HARWICH/CHATHAM MASSACHUSETTS

PROJ. No.: 20110202.A10
DATE: FEB. 2012

RC-101



File Path: J:\DWG\P2011\0202\A10\Civil\Plan\20110202A10_EXC01.dwg, Layout: RC-102, Plotted: Mon, Feb 27, 2012 - 1:08 PM User: rwilberg
MS VIEW: CS-102 LAYER STATE: SITE Plotter: NONE CTB File: ----

No.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DESIGNER	REVIEWER
1.			XX/XX	XX

SEAL

SEAL

BAXTER NYE
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING

SCALE:
HORZ.: 1" = 50'
VERT.:
DATUM:
HORZ.: NAD83
VERT.: NAVD88
50 25 0 50
GRAPHIC SCALE

FUSS & O'NEILL
317 IRON HORSE WAY, SUITE 204
PROVIDENCE, RI 02908
401.861.3070
www.fando.com

CAPE COD CONSERVATION DISTRICT

WETLAND RESOURCE AREA PLAN NO. 2

MUDDY CREEK WETLAND RESTORATION

HARWICH/CHATHAM MASSACHUSETTS

PROJ. No.: 20110202.A10
DATE: FEB. 2012

RC-102

No.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DESIGNER	REVIEWER
1.			XX/XX	XX

SEAL

SEAL

BAXTER NYE
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING

SCALE:
HORZ.: 1" = 50'
VERT.:
DATUM:
HORZ.: NAD83
VERT.: NAVD88
50 25 0 50
GRAPHIC SCALE

FUSS & O'NEILL
317 IRON HORSE WAY, SUITE 204
PROVIDENCE, RI 02908
401.861.3070
www.fando.com

CAPE COD CONSERVATION DISTRICT

WETLAND RESOURCE AREA PLAN NO. 3

MUDDY CREEK WETLAND RESTORATION

HARWICH/CHATHAM MASSACHUSETTS

PROJ. No.: 20110202.A10
DATE: FEB. 2012

RC-103

File Path: J:\DWG\P2011\0202\A10\Civil\Plan\20110202A10_EXC01.dwg, Layout: RC-103, Plotted: Mon, Feb 27, 2012 - 1:08 PM User: nwiberg
Plotter: NONE CTB File: ----
LAYER STATE: SITE
MS VIEW: CS-103

SEE SHEET RC-105

SEE SHEET RC-106

SEE SHEET RC-103



No.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DESIGNER	REVIEWER
1.			XX/XX	XX

SEAL

SEAL

BAXTER NYE
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING

SCALE:
HORZ.: 1" = 50'
VERT.:
DATUM:
HORZ.: NAD83
VERT.: NAVD88
50 25 0 50
GRAPHIC SCALE

FUSS & O'NEILL
317 IRON HORSE WAY, SUITE 204
PROVIDENCE, RI 02908
401.861.3070
www.fando.com

CAPE COD CONSERVATION DISTRICT

WETLAND RESOURCE AREA PLAN NO. 4

MUDDY CREEK WETLAND RESTORATION

HARWICH/CHATHAM MASSACHUSETTS

PROJ. No.: 20110202.A10
DATE: FEB. 2012

RC-104

File Path: J:\DWG\P20110202A10\Civil\Plan\20110202A10_EXC01.dwg, Layout: RC-104, Plotted: Mon, Feb 27, 2012 - 1:09 PM User: nwiberg
Plotter: NONE CTB File: ----
LAYER STATE: SITE
MS VIEW: CS-101



File Path: J:\DWG\P20110202\A10\Civil\Plan\20110202A10_EXC01.dwg, Layout: RC-105, Plotted: Mon, Feb 27, 2012 - 1:09 PM User: nwiberg
Plotter: NONE CTB File: ----
LAYER STATE: SITE
MS VIEW: CS-101

No.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DESIGNER	REVIEWER
1.			XX/XX	XX

SEAL

SEAL

BAXTER NYE
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING

SCALE:
HORZ.: 1" = 50'
VERT.:
DATUM:
HORZ.: NAD83
VERT.: NAVD88
50 25 0 50
GRAPHIC SCALE

FUSS & O'NEILL
317 IRON HORSE WAY, SUITE 204
PROVIDENCE, RI 02908
401.861.3070
www.fando.com

CAPE COD CONSERVATION DISTRICT

WETLAND RESOURCE AREA PLAN NO. 5

MUDDY CREEK WETLAND RESTORATION

HARWICH/CHATHAM MASSACHUSETTS

PROJ. No.: 20110202.A10
DATE: FEB. 2012

RC-105

SEE SHEET RC–105



SEE SHEET RC–107

SEE SHEET RC–104

No.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DESIGNER	REVIEWER
1.			XX/XX	XX

SEAL

SEAL

BAXTER NYE
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING

SCALE:
HORZ.: 1" = 50'
VERT.:
DATUM:
HORZ.: NAD83
VERT.: NAVD88
50 25 0 50
GRAPHIC SCALE

FUSS & O'NEILL
317 IRON HORSE WAY, SUITE 204
PROVIDENCE, RI 02908
401.861.3070
www.fando.com

CAPE COD CONSERVATION DISTRICT

WETLAND RESOURCE AREA PLAN NO. 6

MUDDY CREEK WETLAND RESTORATION

HARWICH/CHATHAM MASSACHUSETTS

PROJ. No.: 20110202.A10
DATE: FEB. 2012

RC-106

File Path: J:\DWG\P20110202\A10\Civil\Plan\20110202A10_EXC01.dwg, Layout: RC-106, Plotted: Mon, Feb 27, 2012 - 1:09 PM User: nwiberg
MS VIEW: CS-101 LAYER STATE: SITE Plotter: NONE CTB File: ----

SEE SHEET RC-106

No.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DESIGNER	REVIEWER
1.			XX/XX	XX

SEAL

SEAL

BAXTER NYE
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING

SCALE:
HORZ.: 1" = 50'
VERT.:
DATUM:
HORZ.: NAD83
VERT.: NAVD88
50 25 0 50
GRAPHIC SCALE

FUSS & O'NEILL
317 IRON HORSE WAY, SUITE 204
PROVIDENCE, RI 02908
401.861.3070
www.fando.com

CAPE COD CONSERVATION DISTRICT

WETLAND RESOURCE AREA PLAN NO. 7

MUDDY CREEK WETLAND RESTORATION

HARWICH/CHATHAM MASSACHUSETTS

PROJ. No.: 20110202.A10
DATE: FEB. 2012

RC-107

File Path: J:\DWG\P\20110202\A10\Civil\Plan\20110202A10_EXC01.dwg, Layout: RC-107, Plotted: Mon, Feb 27, 2012 - 1:09 PM User: nwilberg
MS VIEW: CS-101 LAYER STATE: SITE Plotter: NONE CTB File: ----